LEA Name:	Niagara Falls City School District
LEA BEDS Code:	400800010056
School Name:	Maple Avenue School

### **ENTER DATA INTO ALL YELLOW CELLS.**

# 2017-2018 School Comprehensive Education Plan (SCEP)

Contact Name	Maria Chille-Zafuto	Title	Principal
Phone	716-278-9140	Email	mchille-zafuto@nfschools.net
Website for Published Plan			

# APPROVAL OF THIS PLAN BY THE SUPERINTENDENT AND BOARD OF EDUCATION (IN NEW YORK CITY, THE CHANCELLOR OR THE CHANCELLOR'S DESIGNEE) IS MANDATORY.

Implementation is required no later than the first day of regular student attendance.

Signatures confirm the respective parties certify that the SCEP addresses all of the required components of the ESEA Flexibility Waiver as detailed on page 1 of this document and understand that any significant modification of the school's approved plan require the prior approval of the commissioner.

#### THE SIGNATURES BELOW CONFIRM APPROVAL.

	1112 31610/11 61123 2226 11 6		
Position	Signature	Print Name	Date
		Mark Laurrie	
Superintendent			
President, B.O.E. / Chancellor		Nicholas Vilardo	
or Chancellor's Designee			

#### **Statement of Assurances**

#### By signing this document, the Local Education Agency certifies that:

- 1. The School Comprehensive Education Plan (SCEP) has been developed in consultation with parents, school staff and others in accordance with the requirements of Shared-Decision Making (CR 100.11) to provide a meaningful opportunity for stakeholders to participate in the development of the plan and comment on the plans before they are approved.
- 2. The School Comprehensive Education Plan (SCEP) has been formally approved by the school board and will be made widely available through public means, such as posting on the Internet, distribution through the media and distribution through public agencies.
- 3. The School Comprehensive Education Plan (SCEP) will be implemented no later than the beginning of the first day of regular student attendance.
- 4. A comprehensive systems approach will be established to recruit, develop, retain and equitably distribute effective teachers and school leaders as part of the implementation of the Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) system required by Education law §3012(c) and §3012(d).
- 5. Professional development will be provided to teachers and school leaders that will fully support the strategic efforts described within this plan.
- 8. Meaningful time for collaboration will be used to review and analyze data in order to inform and improve district policies, procedures, and instructional practices.

#### **School Leadership Team**

**SCHOOL LEADERSHIP TEAM:** The SCEP must be developed in consultation with parents, school staff, and others pursuant to §100.11 of Commissioner's Regulations. Participants who are regularly involved in your district and school improvement initiatives, such as community organizations or institutes of higher education should be included. By signing below, stakeholders ascertain that, although they may not agree with all components of the plan, they have actively participated in the development and revision of the SCEP.

**Instructions:** List the stakeholders who participated in developing the SCEP as required by Commissioner's Regulations §100.18. Provide dates and locations of Local Stakeholder meetings. Boxes should be added as necessary.

Meeting Date(s)	Locations(s)	Meeting Date(s)	Location(s)
June 27, 2017	Maple Avenue School		
July 25, 2017	Maple Avenue School		

Name	Title / Organization	Signature
Maria Chille-Zafuto	Principal	
Angela Manella	Instructional Coach	
Colleen Caprio	Instructional Coach	
Christopher Robins	Intermediate Teacher	
Nancy Scirto	Primary Teacher	

## **School Information Sheet**

School Informat	tion Sheet										
Grade Configuration	Pre K-6	Total Student Enrollment	340	% Title I Population	100	% Attendance Rate	95				
% of Students Eligible for Free Lunch	100	% of Students Eligible for Reduced-Price	0	% of Limited English Proficient Students	0	% of Students with Disabilities	6				
Racial/Ethnic O	rigin of Sch	ool Student Popi	ulation								
% American Indian or Alaska Native	2.5	% Black or African American	33	% Hispanic or Latino	3	% Asian, Native Hawaiian / Other Pacific Islander	1	% White	46	% Multi-Racial	14
School Personne	al										
	ars Principal Assigned to 4 # of Assistant Principals # of Deans		0	# of Counselors / Social Workers 1		1					
% of Teachers wit Teaching Certifica Compliance)		0	% of Teach of Certifica	ers Teaching Out Ition Area	0	% Teaching with Fewer than 3 Years of Experience		0	Average # of Teacher Absences		5
Overall State Ad	countabili	ty Status									
Priority School	countabili	ly Status	Focus Scho	ool Identified by a rict		SIG 1003(a) Recipie	ent	2015-16	SIG 1003(g)	Recipient	
Identification for ELA?			Identificati	on for Math?		Identification for Science?			Identification for High School Graduation Rate?		
ELA Performance and Level 4	formance at Level 3 el 4  Math Performance at Level 3 and Level 4  Science Performance at Level 3 3 and Level 4		91	Four-Year Graduation Rate (HS Only)							
	of 1st Year Students Who ned 10+ Credits (HS Only)  % of 2nd Year Students Who Earned 10+ Credits (HS Only)  % of 3rd Year Students Who Earned 10+ Credits (HS Only)				Six-Year Gra (HS Only)	aduation Rate					
Persistently Failing School (per Education Law 211-f)			Failing Sch Law 211-f)	ool (per Education							

# **School Information Sheet**

Did Not Meet Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) in ELA				
	American Indian or Alaska Native	x	Black or African American	
	Hispanic or Latino		Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	
x	White		Multi-Racial	
x	Students with Disabilities		Limited English Proficient	
х	Economically Disadvantaged			

Did Not Meet Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) in Mathematics			
	American Indian or Alaska Native	x	Black or African American
	Hispanic or Latino		Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander
x	White		Multi-Racial
x	Students with Disabilities		Limited English Proficient
х	Economically Disadvantaged		

Did Not Meet Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) in Science			
American Indian or Alaska Native	Black or African American		
Hispanic or Latino	Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander		
White	Multi-Racial		
Students with Disabilities	Limited English Proficient		
Economically Disadvantaged			

Did Not Meet Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for Effective Annual Measurable Objective			
N	IA .	Limited English Proficient	

#### **SCEP Plan Overview**

In this section, the district must describe the development of the plan, the degree to which the previous school year's SCEP was successfully implemented, overall improvement mission or guiding principles at the core of the strategy for executing the mission/guiding principles, the key design elements of the SCEP, and other unique characteristics of the plan (if any), and provide evidence of the district's capacity to effectively oversee and manage the improvement plan.

The SCEP must be made widely available through public means, such as posting on the Internet, by the district. The Overview will serve as the at-a-glance summary of how the district will use various funding sources to improve student achievement. A complete overview will address the following:

	<u>1. R</u> a	te the degree to which the School achieved the goals identified in the previous year's School Comprehensive Education Plan (Mark with an "X").
		Limited Degree (Fewer than 20% of goals were achieved.)
		Partial Degree (Fewer than 50% of goals were achieved.)
	Х	Moderate Degree (At least 50% of goals were achieved.)
		Major Degree (At least 90% of goals were achieved.)
	2. Ra	te the degree to which the School successfully implemented the activities identified in the previous year's SCEP (Mark with an "X").
		Limited Degree (Fewer than 20% of activities were carried out.)
		Partial Degree (Fewer than 50% of activities were carried out.)
	Х	Moderate Degree (At least 50% of activities were carried out.)
		Major Degree (At least 90% of activities were carried out.)
	3. Ra	te the degree to which the activities identified in the previous year's SCEP impacted academic achievement targets for identified subgroups (Mark with an "X").
		Limited Degree (No identified subgroups improved achievement.)
		Partial Degree (Some of the identified subgroups improved achievement.)
	Х	Moderate Degree (A majority of identified subgroups improved achievement.)
		Major Degree (All identified subgroups improved achievement.)
	4. Ra	te the degree to which the activities identified in the previous year's SCEP increased Parent Engagement (Mark with an "X").
		Limited Degree (There was no increase in the level of Parent Engagement.)
		Partial Degree (There was a minor increase in the level of Parent Engagement.)
	Х	Moderate Degree (There was modest increase in the level of Parent Engagement.)
		Major Degree (There was a significant increase in the level of Parent Engagement.)
	5. Ra	te the degree to which the activities identified in the previous year's SCEP received the funding necessary to achieve the corresponding goals (Mark with an "X").
		Limited Degree (Fewer than 20% of planned activities were funded.)
		Partial Degree (Fewer than 50% of planned activities were funded.)
	Х	Moderate Degree (At least 50% of planned activities were funded.)
		Major Degree (At least 90% of planned activities were funded.)
ı	6. Ide	entify in which Tenet the school made the most growth during the previous year (Mark with an "X").
		Tenet 1: District Leadership and Capacity
		Tenet 2: School Leader Practices and Decisions
		Tenet 3: Curriculum Development and Support
	Х	Tenet 4: Teacher Practices and Decisions
		Tenet 5: Student Social and Emotional Developmental Health
		Tenet 6: Family and Community Engagement

In reflecting on the PREVIOUS YEAR'S PLAN:

• Describe the most significant positive impact(s) that resulted from the previous year's plan (may include such examples as specific changes in adult behavior and/or measurable changes in student outcomes).

The creation and monitoring of SMART Goals significantly impacted student performance. NWEA scores increased up to 50 points over the course of the academic year.

• Describe all mid-course corrections to the previous year's plan in response to data review and needed adjustment. Include details of current impact and expectations for sustainability moving forward.

Reevaluated midyear NWEA test scores with teachers, then held workshop to educate teachers to assist their students on ways to reevaluate individual SMART goals and revise their action steps. At year's end, elicited feedback from teachers regarding the creation and attainment of SMART goals.

In developing the **CURRENT YEAR'S** plan:

• List the highlights of the initiatives described in the current SCEP.

Tenet 2 - Parent involvement and engagement; Tenet 3 - work collaboratively across grade levels; Tenet 4 - self monitoring strategies for students; Tenet 5 - work collaboratively with noninstructional staff to support nurturing environment; Tenet 6 - crease family involvement

• List the identified needs in the school that will be targeted for improvement in this plan.

Increase family involvement, social and emotional support for students and families

• State the mission or guiding principles of the school and describe the relationship between the mission or guiding principles and the identified needs of the school.

WE believe all students attending Mapale Avenue Scool, given the necessary time and suppot from parents and staff, will become lifetime learniers in an ever chanaging society. All tenets address this need.

• List the student academic achievement targets for the identified subgroups in the current plan.

NA

• Describe how school structures will drive strategic implementation of the mission/guiding principles.

School will allocate time and staff for PEG meetings both during and after school hours to meet needs of families; administration will structure sub release time for PD at grade level and vertical meetings;

List anticipated barriers that may impact the ability to accomplish the mission or guiding principles and how those barriers will be addressed.

One adminstrator school - limited support and sub availability makes PD opportunities difficult. Flexible scheduling - rotating principal to cover so admin can get to classrooms or conduct PD meetings; increase number of department meetings; restructured faculty meetings to address cross curricular meeting opportunities

Describe the professional development opportunities that will be provided to teachers and school leaders and the rationale for each opportunity.

SMART goal setting and evaluation inservice - for continued implentation of SMART goal use with students; Vertical team meetings with 1 grade level up/down to align instructional standards and address learning gaps; actively seek PD oopportunities with graduate education dept at Niagara University for admin and teachers;

List all methods of dialogue that school leaders will implement to strengthen relationships with school staff and the community.

Social media - FB page and Twitter, monthly newsletter, school website, Peachjar, Remind, Dojo, am/pm PEG meeting opportunities

;n

• List all the ways in which the current plan will be made widely available to the public.

PEG meetings, school website, family events (Open House, Family Fun Night, Cardboard Challenge, Parent Night, Technology Night)

• Describe the transition plans to assist preschool children from early childhood programs to the elementary school program (e.g., aligned curriculum, joint PD & parent involvement activities, sharing of records/info, early intervention services, etc.). Applies to elementary schools ONLY.

Pre K teachers are planning their own programs to educate parents on the transition to elementary school. Kindergarten teachers work collaboratively with Pre K teachers to understand curricular and achievement gaps.

#### **Re-Identified Focus Schools**

## (Applicable to schools that were identified as Focus during the 2012-2016 identification period)

Focus Schools that were re-identified on the February 2016 list were required to implement more rigorous interventions focused on the needs identified through their DTSDE reviews. Focus Schools were required to implement at least one ESEA Flexibility Turnaround Principle (e.g., redesign the school day, week, or year; modify the instructional program to ensure it is research-based, rigorous, and aligned with State academic content standards; provide time for collaboration on the use of data) no later than the 2016-17 school year. The SCEP must describe the schools plan for intensive implementation of the selected Turnaround Principle and Districts must complete a school leader checklist for the re-identified Focus School, if the principal has been leader of school for more than two full academic years, in order to determine whether the school leader should be provided additional professional development and/or mentoring or replaced. Below provide an update on the implementation of the selected principle.